UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MATTHEW KARELEFSKY,

Plaintiff,

20-cv-9485 (JGK)

- against -

ORDER

CYNTHANIA BRANN, PATSY YANG, and MARGET EGAN,

Defendants.

## JOHN G. KOELTL, District Judge:

The Court ordered the plaintiff to file a second amended complaint by August 27, 2021. ECF No. 9. While the plaintiff claims that he filed a second amended complaint, the docket sheet does not reflect that filing. On November 5, 2021, the Court extended the plaintiff's time to file the second amended complaint to December 6, 2021. ECF No. 10.

The Court is providing the plaintiff with another copy of the Order to Amend, together with a form to complete a second amended complaint. The time for the plaintiff to serve the second amended complaint is extended to February 4, 2022. If the plaintiff fails to file second amended complaint, this case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

## Case 1:20-cv-09485-JGK Document 11 Filed 12/21/21 Page 2 of 12

The Clerk's Office is directed to mail a copy of this Order to the plaintiff's last known address and to note service on the docket sheet.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, New York

December 21, 2021

John G. Koeltl

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MATTHEW KARELEFSKY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

20-CV-9485 (JGK) ORDER TO AMEND

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; CYNTHIA BRANN; PATSY YANG; MARGARET EGAN,

Defendants.

JOHN G. KOELTL, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, currently detained at the George R. Vierno Center, brings this *pro se* action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendants are violating his federal constitutional rights by not protecting him from contracting COVID-19. He originally filed this complaint with 49 other detainees at the Vernon C. Bain Center ("VCBC"). The original complaint was assigned to Judge George B. Daniels and opened under docket number 20-CV-8407; Judge Daniels referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Aaron, who severed the plaintiffs' claims and directed that each plaintiff's claims be opened as a separate action. *See Lee v. Brann*, ECF 1:20-CV-8407 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.). This action is one of the newly opened actions.

By order dated December 11, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed without prepayment of fees, that is, *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file a second amended complaint within sixty days of the date of this order.

## BACKGROUND

In Lee v. Brann, 20-CV-8407, plaintiff Michael Lee initially sought to bring a class action on behalf of himself and other VCBC detainees, including the plaintiff in this action, Matthew

Karelefsky. The plaintiffs sued the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Correction, Cynthia Brann; "Health Director Commissioner" Patsy Yang; and Board of Correction Executive Director Margaret Egan. The plaintiffs also may have intended to sue the New York City Department of Correction (DOC).

In the original complaint, the plaintiffs alleged that they have been forced into unsafe living conditions, including by being housed in units without appropriate capacity limitations to allow for social distancing. (ECF No. 2 at 5.) Plaintiff alleges that inmates are less than 3-4 inches apart in sleeping areas and that 50 inmates share toilets, sinks, and showers. (*Id.*) Plaintiff alleges that certain other detainees have contracted or been exposed to COVID-19 as a result of these conditions. (*Id.* at 6.) Plaintiff seeks an improvement of conditions, including a reduction in housing capacity; monetary damages; and the release of detainees who meet certain criteria. (*Id.* at 6-7.)

On November 24, 2020, Lee filed an amended complaint in *Lee v. Brann*, which Plaintiff also signed. ECF 1:20-CV-8407, 14. At Judge Aaron's direction, the amended complaint was docketed in each of the severed actions, including this one. ECF 1:20-CV-8407, 19. The amended complaint provides fewer details than the original complaint and does not specify how Defendants specifically violated any of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

## DISCUSSION

## A. Department of Correction

100

In the original complaint and the amended complaint, Plaintiff includes DOC in the caption of the complaint. Whether he intended to sue this agency is unclear, but in any event, the claims against DOC must be dismissed because an agency of the City of New York is not an entity that can be sued. N.Y. City Charter ch. 17, § 396 ("[A]]ll actions and proceedings for the recovery of penalties for the violation of any law shall be brought in the name of the city of New

York and not in that of any agency, except where otherwise provided by law."); Jenkins v. City of New York, 478 F.3d 76, 93 n.19 (2d Cir. 2007); see also Emerson v. City of New York, 740 F. Supp. 2d 385, 395 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) ("[A] plaintiff is generally prohibited from suing a municipal agency.").

## B. Remaining Defendants

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

If Plaintiff was a pretrial detainee at the time of the events giving rise to his claims, the claims arise under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. If he was a convicted prisoner, his claims arise under the Cruel and Unusual Punishments Clause of the Eighth Amendment. *Bell v. Wolfish*, 441 U.S. 520, 535 n.16 (1979); *Darnell v. Pineiro*, 849 F.3d 17, 29 (2d Cir. 2017). Whether Plaintiff was a pretrial detainee or convicted prisoner, a plaintiff must satisfy two elements to state such a claim: (1) an "objective" element, which requires a showing that the challenged conditions are sufficiently serious, and (2) a "mental" element, which requires a showing that the officer acted with at least deliberate indifference to the challenged conditions. *Darnell*, 849 F.3d at 29.

The objective element of a deliberate indifference claim is the same for pretrial detainees and convicted prisoners – "the inmate must show that the conditions, either alone or in combination, pose an unreasonable risk of serious damage to his health" or safety, which "includes the risk of serious damage to 'physical and mental soundness." *Id.* at 30 (citing *Walker v. Schult*, 717 F.3d 119, 125 (2d Cir. 2013), and quoting *LaReau v. MacDougall*, 473 F.2d 974, 978 (2d Cir. 1972)); see also Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825, 828 (1994) ("A prison

official's 'deliberate indifference' to a substantial risk of serious harm to an inmate violates the Eighth Amendment."). "[P]rison officials violate the Constitution when they deprive an inmate of his basic human needs such as food, clothing, medical care, and safe and sanitary living conditions." *Walker*, 717 F.3d at 125 (internal quotation marks omitted).

The second element – the "subjective" or "mental" element – varies depending on whether a plaintiff is a pretrial detainee or convicted prisoner. A convicted prisoner must allege that a correction official "kn[ew] of and disregard[ed] an excessive risk to inmate health or safety; the official must both [have been] aware of facts from which the inference could be drawn that a substantial risk of serious harm exists, and he must also [have] draw[n] the inference." *Darnell*, 849 F.3d at 32 (quoting *Farmer*, 511 U.S. at 837). A pretrial detainee must allege "that the defendant-official acted intentionally to impose the alleged condition, or recklessly failed to act with reasonable care to mitigate the risk that the condition posed to the pretrial detainee even though the defendant-official knew, or should have known, that the condition posed an excessive risk to health or safety." *Id.* at 35. The mere negligence of a correction official is not a basis for a claim of a federal constitutional violation under § 1983. *See Daniels v. Williams*, 474 U.S. 327, 335-36 (1986); *Davidson v. Cannon*, 474 U.S. 344, 348 (1986).

Because the amended complaint does not contain sufficient facts to state a claim, the Court directs Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint. Plaintiff's second amended pleading should allege whether Plaintiff is a pretrial detainee, which housing unit(s) he is or has been assigned to during the relevant time period, and the specific conditions within those units that he contends violate his constitutional rights. For example, if Plaintiff asserts that Defendants failed to comply with capacity restrictions or other precautionary measures intended to prevent the

spread of COVID-19, he should allege any facts suggesting that such failures resulted in a substantial risk of serious harm to Plaintiff, and that Defendants were deliberately indifferent to the risk of serious harm to his safety or health. If Plaintiff names individuals as defendants, he must allege facts regarding their personal involvement in the alleged violations of his rights. Further, if Plaintiff is seeking release as a remedy, he must include individualized allegations regarding the basis for such relief.

## LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims. In the statement of claim, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant named in the amended complaint. The plaintiff should provide sufficient detail as to each individual defendant (name, rank, place and time of employment, and physical description) to allow that defendant to be identified for purposes of service To the greatest extent possible, Plaintiff's amended complaint must:

- a) give the names and titles of all relevant persons;
- b) describe all relevant events, stating the facts that support Plaintiff's case including what each defendant did or failed to do;
- c) give the dates and times of each relevant event or, if not known, the approximate date and time of each relevant event;
- d) give the location where each relevant event occurred;
- e) describe how each defendant's acts or omissions violated Plaintiff's rights and describe the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- f) state what relief Plaintiff seeks from the Court, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, the body of Plaintiff's second amended complaint must tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights; what facts show that his federally protected rights were violated; when such violation occurred; where such violation occurred; and why Plaintiff is

Case 1:20-cv-09485-JGK Document 11 Filed 12/21/21 Page 8 of 12 Case 1:20-cv-09485-JGK Document 8 Filed 12/17/20 Page 6 of 6

entitled to relief. Because Plaintiff's second amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the amended complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wishes to maintain must be included in the second amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket. Plaintiff is granted leave to file a second amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the second amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Second Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 20-CV-9485 (JGK). A Second Amended Civil Rights Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court dismisses the "Department of Corrections" from the action for failure to state a claim. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

December 17, 2020

New York, New York

/s/ John G. Koeltl
JOHN G. KOELTL
United States District Judge

6

, -	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
		Civ ()		
(In the	e space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).) -against-	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT_		
canno please	e space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you of the names of all of the defendants in the space provided, write "see attached" in the space above and attach an onal sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names	Jury Trial:		
listed	in the above caption must be identical to those contained in  Addresses should not be included here.)			
I.	Parties in this complaint:			
Α.	List your name, address and telephone number. If you are presently in custody, include your identification number and the name and address of your current place of confinement. Do the same for any additional plaintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.			
Plain	tiff Name			
	Street Address			
	County, City			
	State & Zip Code			
	Telephone Number			
B.	List all defendants. You should state the full name of government agency, an organization, a corporation, or each defendant may be served. Make sure that the defer contained in the above caption. Attach additional sheet	an individual. Include the address where address where adant(s) listed below are identical to those		

Rev. 12/2009 1

# Case 1:20-cv-09485-JGK Document 11 Filed 12/21/21 Page 10 of 12

Defenda	ant No. 1	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defenda	ant No. 2	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defenda	ant No. 3	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
Defenda	ant No. 4	Name					
		Street Address					
		County, City					
		State & Zip Code					
		Telephone Number					
a.	Basis for Jur	isdiction:					
cases in U.S.C. question state and	volving a fede § 1331, a case a case. Under d the amount	urts of limited jurisdiction. Only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: eral question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 se involving the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one state sues a citizen of another in damages is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case.  asis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)					
1.							
	☐ Federal Qu	uestions					
3.	If the basis for jurisdiction is Federal Question, what federal Constitutional, statutory or treaty right						
	is at issue?						
<b>C.</b>	If the basis for jurisdiction is Diversity of Citizenship, what is the state of citizenship of each party?						
	Plaintiff(s) sta	ate(s) of citizenship					
		state(s) of citizenship					

Rev. 12/2009 2

#### III. Statement of Claim:

State as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each of the defendants named in the caption of this complaint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.

	Α.	Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?		
	В.			
	С.	Facts:		
What happened to you?				
Who did what?				
Was anyone else involved?				
Who cise saw what happened?				
	IV.  If you treatm	Injuries: u sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical nent, if any, you required and received.		

Rev. 12/2009 3

	·	mount of monetary compensation, if any, you are
seeking, and the basis for suc	ch compensation.	
1. A min at 12 .		/
•		
******		
AND		
	A. B 8/198-4/4	and the state of t
I declare under penalty of p	nariums that the foregoin	g is true and correct
•		5 is true and correct
Signed this day of		
<u>.</u>	Signature of Plaintiff	
	Mailing Address	
	maning river out	
	Telephone Number	
	•	
	Fax Number (if you ha	ve one)
Note: All plaintiffs named must also provide the	in the caption of the comp eir inmate numbers, presen	laint must date and sign the complaint. Prisoners at place of confinement, and address.
For Prisoners:		
I declare under penalty of pe this complaint to prison author the Southern District of New	rjury that on this da orities to be mailed to the P York.	ry of, 20, I am delivering ro Se Office of the United States District Court for
	Signature of Plaintiff:	

Rev. 12/2009